

## LABOUR DEPARTMENT

## Order

The 10th November, 1982

**No. ID/RTK/86/82/50621.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s A. K. Industries M.I.E., Bahadurgarh, District Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (4) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workmen for adjudication:—

Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus at the rate of 20 per cent for the year 1980-81? If so, with what details?

The 17th November, 1982

**No. ID/KNL/48/82/51175.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Kumar Woollen Industries, Weavers Colony, Panipat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workmen for adjudication:—

- (1) Whether the termination of services of S/Shri Sohan Lal, Krishan Lal, Hukam Chand, Randbir, Rakam, Nakli Ram, Sultan, Nand Lal, Om Pal and Girdhari was justified and in order? If not, to what relief are they entitled?
- (2) Whether the workmen are entitled to grant of bonus at the rate of 20% for the year 1981-82? If so, with what details?

**No. ID/FD/135/82/51181.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Laldee Pvt. Ltd., 20/2, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the disputes as between the said management and the workmen for adjudication:—

Whether the appointment letters should be issued to the workmen? If so, with what details?

**No. ID/RTK/160/82/51188.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Krishan Lal and the management of Haryana Roadways, Rohtak regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab.70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Krishan Lal was justified and in order?  
If not, to what relief is he entitled?